

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/577,453</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) VAINIO ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner MARCOS BATISTA</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2617</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/577,453	Applicant(s) VAINIO ET AL.	Examiner MARCOS BATISTA	Art Unit 2617
Application No. 10/577,453	Applicant(s) VAINIO ET AL.				
Examiner MARCOS BATISTA	Art Unit 2617				

All Participants:

(1) MARCOS BATISTA.

(2) Christopher M. Swickhamer.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1, 6-8, 13, 14, and 21

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Incorporating the limitations of claims 6 and 7 into independent claims 1 and 21 and the limitations of claims 13 and 14 into independent claim 8 in order to place this application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617